

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,845	06/01/2004	Jeng-Yih WU	NAUP0563USA	3844
27765 7590 02/08/2007 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			EXAMINER	
			REHM, ADAM C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2885	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,845	WU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Adam C. Rehm	2885				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO c, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 N	ovember 2006.					
·=	, —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 13-20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objo drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2885

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 10, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MASUMOTO (US 5,418,583) in view of O'BRIEN ET AL. (WO 03/064919). MASUMOTO discloses a projector (Column 1, Lines 6-14) comprising:
 - A light source (1);
 - A first lens array positioned on a side of the light source (4, Fig. 16);
 - An invisible-light/UV/IR cut filter being non-parallel with the first array
 (105):
 - A second lens array positioned on the same side of the light source as the first lens array (5); and
 - A light source housing/reflector surrounding a portion of the light source and reflects light toward the lens array (3, Fig. 1).
- 2. MASUMOTO discloses the claimed invention, but does not disclose an invisible-light/UV/IP cut filter having an included angle of about 45-79 degrees. However, O'BRIEN teaches arranging an IR filter at an angle of about 45-79 degrees/angled from an optical axis (Fig. 2) for the purpose of preventing reflection of light back to the light source and thus avoiding damage to the light source (Page 4, Paragraph 3). It would

Art Unit: 2885

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MASUMOTO and use the angled IR filter as taught by O'BRIEN in order to avoid the reflection of damaging light back to the light source.

Page 3

- 3. While MASUMOTO in view of O'BRIEN disclose an IR filter so as to reduce an amount of light reflected back to the light source (as provided above), neither reference specifically disclose an IR filter so as to reduce an amount of light reflected back to the light source housing. However, O'BRIEN teaches angling the filter towards a non-critical part of the lighting housing and also suggests alternative mounting of the filter (Page 4, Paragraph 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MASUMOTO and use the teachings as taught by O'BRIEN in order to direct light as desired, i.e. away from the light source housing and critical parts in order to safeguard those parts.
- 4. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MASUMOTO (US 5,418,583) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art. MASUMOTO discloses the claimed invention, but does not specifically disclose a PS converter or a high-pressure mercury lamp light source. However, applicant admits that prior art projectors use PS converters for polarizing light beams (Page 2, Lines 9-10, Fig. 1) and high-pressure mercury lamps (Page 2, Lines 11-14), which are commonly used in projectors due to well-known properties including high lumens, long life, color constancy and low cost. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MASUMOTO and use the PS

Application/Control Number: 10/709,845 Page 4

Art Unit: 2885

converter and mercury lamp as taught by applicant in order to polarize light beams from an efficient light source.

Claims 11, 13-16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 5. unpatentable over MASUMOTO (US 5,418,583) and O'BRIEN ET AL. (WO 03/064919). MASUMOTO discloses a projector (Column 1, Lines 6-14) comprising a light source (1); a first lens array positioned on a side of the light source (4, Fig. 16); a second lens array positioned on the same side of the light source as the first lens array (5); an invisiblelight/UV/IR cut filter being non-parallel with the first array and between the first and second arrays (105); and a light source housing/reflector surrounding a portion of the light source and reflects light toward the lens array (3). MASUMOTO does not disclose an invisible-light/UV/IR cut filter positioned at an acute angle to the first array. However, O'BRIEN teaches arranging an IR filter at an angle of about 45-79 degrees (Fig. 2) for the purpose of preventing reflection of light back to the light source and thus avoiding damage to the light source (Page 4, Paragraph 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MASUMOTO and use the angled IR filter as taught by O'BRIEN in order to avoid the reflection of damaging light back to the light source.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's amendment, dated 11/16/2006, has been received.

Art Unit: 2885

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are substantially moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 8. Examiner considers the arguments addressed above.
- 9. Applicant argues that the O'Brien filter does not operate to reduce an amount of light reflected back to the light housing. However, Applicant only claims that the filter reduces an amount of light reflected back to the light housing. Figure 2 illustrates an amount of light penetrating the filter (22). Thus, the filter "reduce[s] an amount of light reflected back to the light housing."
- 10. Applicant asserts the IR filter and lens array do not have an included angle.

 Notably, if the MASUMOTO array is used with the O'BRIEN filter as combined above, the resultant would be an IR filter with a lens array having an included angle.
- 11. Applicant requests reconsideration of the language "... that do not reflect light into the reflective housing." Given Applicant's newly amended language now reciting the language as argued in the previous office action, the claims have been considered and addressed above.
- 12. Applicant remaining arguments relate to an included IR filter having a specific angle and redirecting light into a desired area. As provided above, O'Brien teaches aiming redirected light into non-critical areas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to redirect light as desired utilizing whatever angle necessary to accomplish the task.

Art Unit: 2885

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam C. Rehm whose telephone number is 571-272-8589. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong-Suk (James) Lee can be reached on 571-272-7044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2885

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ACR 1/30/2007

> THOMAS M. SEMBER PRIMARY EXAMINER